

JS-6

GARY A. CLARK, Cal. Bar No. 65455
gclark@sheppardmullin.com
DARREN M. FRANKLIN, Cal. Bar No. 210939
dfranklin@sheppardmullin.com
SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
A Limited Liability Partnership
Including Professional Corporations
333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-1422
Telephone: 213-620-1780
Facsimile: 213-620-1398

ROBERT E. BROWNE (*pro hac vice*)
rbrowne@ngelaw.com
WILLIAM J. LENZ (*pro hac vice*)
wlenz@ngelaw.com
GREGORY J. LEIGHTON (*pro hac vice*)
gleighton@ngelaw.com
MIKE R. TURNER (*pro hac vice*)
mturner@ngelaw.com
NEAL, GERBER & EISENBERG LLP
Two North LaSalle Street, Suite 1700
Chicago, Illinois 60602-3801
Telephone: 312-269-8000
Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant
PRECI-DIP SA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

TRI-STAR ELECTRONICS
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Plaintiff

v.

PRECI-DIP DURTAL SA,

Defendant.

PRECI-DIP DURTAL SA,

Counter-Claimant,

v.

TRI-STAR ELECTRONICS
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Counter-Defendant.

Case No. CV 08-04226-GAF-AJWx

The Honorable Gary A. Feess
The Honorable Andrew J. Wistrich

Fact Discovery Cut-Off: April 26, 2013
Pretrial Conference: TBD
Start of Trial: TBD

**FINAL JUDGMENT AND
DISMISSAL OF ALL CLAIMS**

1
2 Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, Tri-Star Electronics International, Inc. (“Tri-
3 Star”) and Defendant and Counter-Claimant, Preci-Dip SA, sued as Preci-Dip
4 Durtal SA (“Preci-Dip”), having stipulated that the Non-Final Judgment of Non-
5 Infringement entered by this Court on July 6, 2012 may be made Final and that the
6 remaining Counterclaims filed by Preci-Dip, namely, Count I and Counts III
7 through VI be dismissed without prejudice, and good cause appearing for granting
8 the Stipulation:

9
10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED, pursuant to Rule 54(b)
11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: That the electrical contacts of Preci-Dip
12 identified in Tri-Star’s Second Amended Disclosure of Asserted Claims and
13 Infringement Contentions [Docket Nos. 129 and 129-1, filed November 22, 2011]
14 do not infringe Claims 1-3, 7, 13 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,250,974, as those
15 claims have been construed by the Court; that Preci-Dip’s Counterclaims for
16 Declaration Of Non-Infringement (Count 1), Federal Unfair Competition (Count
17 III), Violation Of The California Unfair Competition Act (Count IV), California
18 Common Law Unfair Competition (Count V), and Interference With Contractual
19 Relations And Prospective Business Advantage (Count VI) be, and hereby are
20 dismissed without prejudice; and, that this case is otherwise terminated with each
21 party to bear its own costs, expenses, and attorneys’ fees.

22
23 Dated: February 27, 2013



Honorable Gary A. Feess
U.S. District Judge